

Missouri Juvenile Court Annual Report Calendar Year 1998

Missouri Department of Social Services P.O. Box 1527 221 West High Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-1527

Missouri Department of Social Services Mission Statement

To maintain or improve the quality of life for the people of the state of Missouri by providing the best possible services to the public, with respect, responsiveness and accountability, which will enable individuals and families to better fulfill their potential.

Missouri Division of Youth Services Mission Statement

The mission of the Division of Youth Services is to enable youth to fulfill their needs in a responsible manner within the context of and with respect for the needs of the family and the community.

Dear Reader:

The Division of Youth Services (DYS) is pleased to present to you our Annual Statistical Report. Statistical information found in this report reviews fiscal year 1998. This report provides pertinent statistics regarding the number and characteristics of the youth we serve.

During fiscal year 1998, the division received 1,355 court-committed youths, which is a slight increase from 1,301 the previous year. The division also served many non-committed youth in our day treatment and interstate compact programs.

We extend our appreciation to the Governor, the Missouri Legislature, the Department of Social Services, our DYS Advisory Board, and the many volunteers and friends of the division. Through their support, we have been able to enhance and extend the division's treatment services as well as make improvements to our facilities.

Very truly yours,

Mark D. Steward Director

Missouri Division of Youth Services Central Office 221 W. High, 5th Floor Broadway Bldg. Jefferson City, MO 65101 (573) 751-3324

TABLE OF CONTENTS

JUVENILE COURT OVERVIEW	1
Race and Gender Comparisons	1
Referral Types	2
Referral Trends	3
Referral Sources	3
Most Common Major Allegations	4
Disposition of Referrals	5
Certifications to Adult Court	8
Pre-Hearing Placements	9
LAW VIOLATION REFERRALS	11
Law Violation Outcomes	11
Prior Violations	11
Age Distribution of Law Violators	12
STATUS OFFENSE REFERRALS	13
Status Offense Outcomes	13
Status Offense Placements	14
ABUSE, NEGLECT AND CUSTODY REFERRALS	15
Abuse, Neglect and Custody Outcomes	15
Age Distribution of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals	15
APPENDICES	17
A: Total Juvenile Court Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	18
B: Law Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	20
C: Status Offense Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	22
D: Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	24
E: Out-of-Home Placements by Circuit	26
F: In-Home Services by Circuit	25
G: Referrals by Type by Circuit and County	28
H: Referrals by Type and Level by Circuit	30
I: Number of Juveniles Certified to Adult Court by Circuit, Race and Gender	31
MISSOURI'S 45 JUDICIAL CIRCUITS (map)	32

CHARTS AND TABLES

Table 1.	Annual Referrals	1
Chart 1.	Total Referrals by Race and Gender	1
Table 2.	Juvenile Court Referral Statistics: 1988-1998	2
Chart 2.	Trend of Referrals	3
Table 3.	Referral Source	3
Chart 3.	Most Common Major Allegation: Male	4
Chart 4.	Most Common Major Allegation: Female	4
Chart 5.	Disposition of Referrals	6
Chart 6.	Outcomes of Formally Disposed Referrals	6
Chart 7.	Outcomes of Informally Disposed Referrals	7
Chart 8.	Out-of-Home Placements	7
Chart 9.	In-Home Service Providers	8
Table 4.	Referrals Certified to Adult Court - Age by Race	8
Table 5.	Pre-Hearing Placements by Referral Type and Gender	9
Chart 10.	Non-Secure Pre-Hearing Placements: Type of Facility	9
Chart 11.	Non-Secure Pre-Hearing Placements: Operator of Facility	10
Chart 12.	Outcomes of Law Violation Referrals	11
Table 6.	Average Prior Law Violations for Certain Offense Categories	11
Table 7.	Law Violation Referrals per Allegation and Age	12
Chart 13.	Outcomes of Status Offense Referrals	13
Chart 14.	Status Offense Referrals by Gender	13
Table 8.	Pre-Hearing Placements: Status Offense Referrals	14
Table 9.	Out-of-Home Placements: Status Offense Referrals	14
Table 10.	Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals by Category	15
Chart 15.	Outcomes of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals	15
Table 11.	Age Group of Victim	15

JUVENILE COURT OVERVIEW

This report is the fifty-fourth in a series of juvenile court statistics and the twentieth published under the direction of the Division of Youth Services (DYS) and the Department of Social Services' Research and Evaluation Unit, as mandated by section 219.016.(3), RSMo 1994. This report presents the summary statistics of Missouri's juvenile court system for calendar year 1998.

The numbers represented in this report are not individual children, but separately disposed court referrals. A referral is defined as "any action involving a juvenile which results in a determination, finding, or outcome and a written record maintained in the juvenile's name." A disposed referral is one which has resulted in a "finding" or "outcome."

Examples of findings and outcomes include Court Adjudication, Informal Adjustment, Transfer to Other Court, and Rejection of Referral.


Table 1.							
Annual	Annual Referrals						
Year	Referrals						
1993	72,748						
1994	77,460						
1995	80,175						
1996	84,131						
1997	86,118						
1998	86,447						

Throughout this report, the term "referral" means "disposed referral."

In calendar year 1998, the 45 juvenile court circuits disposed 86,447 referrals. This is an 18.8 percent increase over the six-year period since 1993, but less than a one percent increase over 1997.

NOTE: The number of children represented by these referrals can not be accurately reported due to in-

consistencies in identification systems from circuit to circuit. Therefore, an unduplicated statewide count of individual children is not available.



Race and Gender Comparisons

Chart 1 shows the gender and racial breakdown of all referrals in 1998. Males made up the larger portion of each racial grouping, accounting for 66 percent of all referrals, while females made up 34 percent. White youth comprised 70 percent of the referral population, black youth comprised 28 percent, and two percent of referrals were of other races.

Referral Types

Referrals to Missouri's juvenile courts break down into four categories:

Law Violations: Acts which would be in violation of the Missouri Crimi-

nal Code if they were committed by an adult.

Status Violations: Acts which are violations only if committed by a juve-

nile. These include such activities as truancy and run-

ning away from home.

Abuse, Neglect, Custody:

(A/N/C)

Reports of Missouri children who were victims of abuse

or neglect, and children involved in custody disputes,

transfers, or terminations of parental rights.

Administrative: Reported referrals of violations of court orders. Prior

to 1992, this category also included permanency planning reviews and other miscellaneous referrals such as

probation violations.

Table	2. Juvenile	Court Refe	erral Statisti	ics: 1988-1	1998
	_				
	ABUSE,				
	NEGLECT,	ADMINIS-	LAW	STATUS	
YEAR	CUSTODY	TRATIVE	VIOLATION	OFFENSE	TOTAL
1988	11,488	9,545	36,580	13,771	71,384
1989	12,138	10,682	37,185	13,728	73,733
1990	12,949	12,225	38,920	13,706	77,800
1991	13,073	9,326	40,180	13,708	76,287
1992	13,523	452 *	45,451	14,023	73,449
1993	14,014	558 *	43,070	15,106	72,748
1994	13,851	883 *	41,268	21,458 ~	77,460
1995	11,743	973 *	44,048	23,411 ~	80,175
1996	13,104	929 *	46,229	23,799 ~	84,131
1997	13,344	819 *	49,402	22,553 ~	86,118
1998	13,416	900*	48,382	23,749 ~	86,447


^{*} The definitions for Administrative reporting were changed in 1992.

Table 2 shows the breakdown of all referrals into the four referral categories over the past eleven years. Referrals to all categories, with the exception of Law Violation, increased slightly over 1997. Law violation referrals decreased by two percent; however, this category still comprised the majority of referrals, at 56 percent.

[~] The definitions for Status Offense reporting changed in 1994 to include curfew violations.

Referral Trends

Chart 2 illustrates the change in total referrals, by category, over the past eleven years. While an increase has been the general trend for each, status violations show the most dramatic increase (72%), partly due to reporting definition changes. From 1988 to 1998, law violation referrals increased 32 percent, while abuse, neglect and custody referrals increased 17 percent.





Referral Sources

Referrals to the Missouri juvenile court system can come from many sources. In 1998, 68 percent of all referrals were initiated by law enforcement. As in the past, more than half of the abuse, neglect and custody referrals were initiated by the Department of Social Services' Division of Family Services (DFS). Status offense referrals were more likely to be made by schools or municipal police. The juvenile courts and parents were also the initiators of large numbers of referrals. Table 3 shows the source of all referrals to the Missouri juvenile courts.

Table 3. Referral Sc	ource
Law Enforcement	
Municipal Police	50,153
County Sheriff	6,659
Other Law Enforcement	963
Highway Patrol	586
School	7,990
Div. of Family Services	7,905
Juvenile Court Personnel	3,792
Parent	3,391
Other Juvenile Court	2,816
Relative, Other than Parent	528
Victim or Self Referral	383
Social Agency - Private	211
Social Agency - Public	81
Dept. of Mental Health	26
Other	809
Miscode	154
Total	86,447

Most Common Major Allegations





Charts 3 and 4 illustrate the most common major allegations, by gender, for 1998 referrals. Although status violation has the largest number of referrals, males are more likely to be referred for law violations than any other type of referral. Stealing, assault, and property damage are in the top five reasons for referral.

Females are most likely to be referred to the juvenile courts for status violations. Abuse, neglect and custody referrals is the next major category. The number of female referrals for law violations of any kind is much lower both as a percentage of total female referrals and as compared to male referrals.

* "All Others" includes other law violations not mentioned on these charts as well as administrative violations.

Disposition of Referrals

After a youth has been referred to a juvenile court, a formal judgment or informal decision is made about how to handle the case. The possible determinations or outcomes fall into two categories: (1) those that are handled **formally** with a petition to the court, and (2) those that are **informally** adjusted outside of the court.

The **formal** dispositions that can occur are:

True, Out-of-Home: The allegation is found to be true and the youth is

placed out of his or her home. The placement may be with the Division of Youth Services, a foster home,

a relative, or some other agency.

True, In-Home: The allegation is found to be true and the youth re-

ceives services in his or her own home.

True, No Services: The allegation is found to be true, but the youth re-

ceives no services.

Not True: The allegation is found to be not true.

Motion to Dismiss: The court sustains a motion to dismiss and the case

is closed.

Dismiss for Certification: The court sustains a motion to dismiss and the case

is transferred to an adult criminal court to be pro-

cessed under the criminal code.

The **informal** dispositions that can occur are:

Adjust without Supervision: No more than one face-to-face contact is made be-

tween court personnel and the juvenile. This usually results in the giving of advice, counsel or a verbal

warning.

Adjust with Supervision: Either a verbal or written agreement is made to put

the juvenile under court supervision. This usually includes some sort of restitution or community ser-

vice.

Adjust - No Action: No action is taken by the juvenile officer other than

the collection of information.

Transfer to Other Juvenile

Court:

Jurisdiction over the juvenile is transferred to another

juvenile court for disposition.

Transfer to Other Agency: The youth's case record is turned over to another

agency for disposition.


Referral Rejected:No reason is found to sustain the referral.


Disposition of Referrals (cont.)

The dispositions of 1998 referrals to Missouri juvenile courts are shown in Chart 5. Over three-quarters (78 percent) of all referrals were informally disposed.

Charts 6 and 7 (next page) show the outcomes of formally and informally disposed referrals. "W/O Supervision" was the finding for the largest number of referrals.


Formal dispositions accounted for only 22 percent of all referral outcomes, but some important results can be noted from them. While the percentage of all formal referrals remained the same from 1997 to 1998, the referrals found true and placed in-home decreased by four percent. Also, the number of referrals certified to adult court declined by 22 percent.





Disposition of Referrals (cont.)


Chart 7 is a continuation of information found on page 6.



A total of 7,183 referrals were placed out-of-home in 1998. Abuse, neglect and custody referrals made up 53 percent of all out-of-home placements. Law violation referrals accounted for 30 percent and status violation referrals made up 13 percent.


Forty-four percent of all out-of-home placements were made to DFS. Another 22 percent of these placements were made to DYS. Frequently, youths were placed with relatives, court residential care, or some other private agency.

Chart 8 shows the distribution of out-of-home placements.



Disposition of Referrals (cont.)

In-home services can be ordered by the court or provided informally by court personnel. In-home services were provided for 17,084 referrals in 1998 (formal and informal). The type of provider was not identified in all cases, but 16,377 provider types were identified. Eighty-six percent of in-home service cases were supervised by juvenile court personnel. (Chart 9.)



Certifications to Adult Court

In 1998, there were 225 referrals dismissed from the juvenile courts to allow certification to adult court. Table 4 shows the number of dismissed referrals by age and race.


Table 4. Referrals Certified to Adult Court - Age by Race						
	White	Black	Other	Total		
Age 13	1	0	0	1		
Age 14	2	3	0	5		
Age 15	10	13	0	23		
Age 16	64	51	9	124		
Age 17	51	13	1	65		
Age 18 +	3	4	0	7		
Total	131	84	10	225		

Pre-Hearing Placements

At the time of a referral, a juvenile may be detained awaiting a determination. Two types of facilities are used for detention: secure and non-secure. During 1998, **11,751** referrals were detained prior to hearing. Males made up almost 65 percent of all placements, and males were more likely to be detained in a secure facility. A breakdown of all detainees by category and gender is provided in Table 5.


Table 5. Pre-Heari	ng Place	ments by	y Referra	al Type	and Ge	ender
	Se	ecure	Non	-Secure	В	oth
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Law Violation	3,864	861	297	110	90	19
Status	469	342	250	296	29	22
Abuse, Neglect, Custody	3 1	11	2,122	2,272	3	4
Administrative	379	136	97	4 1	3	3
Total	4,743	1,350	2,766	2,719	1 2 5	4 8

There are several types of facilities in which non-secure placements may be made: Foster Home, Group Home, Institution or Facility, Relative, and Other. In 1998, the largest portion of these placements was made to the foster home category (74 percent). Detention with a relative was added as a new category on the 1992 juvenile information form. This category accounted for eight percent of non-secure placements in 1998. Chart 10 details the percentage of placements into the different categories.



Pre-Hearing Placements (cont.)

Chart 11 shows the operators of the non-secure facilities. The operators of a facility can be: DFS, the Department of Mental Health (DMH), Juvenile Court, Local Government, Private Agency, Relative, or Other. DFS was the operator of the facilities in 75 percent of the disposed referrals that had a non-secure placement.



LAW VIOLATION REFERRALS

Law violation referrals made up 56 percent of all referrals to the juvenile court system in 1998. A law violation represents a single delinquent act, even though several offenses may have been committed at the same time by the child referred. The most serious violation that resulted in a final disposition is recorded as the major allegation for the referral.

Law Violation Outcomes

Chart 12 depicts the outcomes of all law referrals. Informal adjustment without supervision accounted for 28 percent of all outcomes. Informal adjustments accounted for 82 percent of law violation referrals.




Table 6. Average Prior Law Violations for Certain Offense Categories Number **Average Prior** of Cases Law Violations Flight/Escape 96 6.00 **Obstruct Judicial Process** 326 5.37 Robbery 403 4.94 **Obstruct Police** 432 3.89 Homicide 31 3.61 Burglary 2,316 3.57 Forgery 150 3.45 Health and Safety 210 3.34 Stolen Property 586 3.33 Fraud 114 2.83 Gambling 2.83 12 Municipal 77 2.69 **Property Damage** 8,335 2.69

Prior Violations

The average number of prior law violations per referral varies greatly among different violations. Referrals for Flight/Escape, Obstruct Judicial Process, and Robbery are shown to have some of the highest incidences of prior allegations. (Table 6.)

Age Distribution of Law Violators


Nearly half (23,758) of all the law violation referrals in 1998 were 15- and 16-year-old youths. This age group was most likely to be referred for stealing, assault, or property damage. This same pattern can be seen among each of the age groups. (Table 7.)

Table	. 7. L	aw Vi	olation	Refer	rals per	Allegat	ion and	l Ag	е	
					AGE 0	ROUP				
VIOLATION	< 12	1 2	1 3	1 4	1 5	1 6	17	18+	Miscode	TOTAL
Arson	98	34	51	69	63	52	9	0	0	376
Assault	1,680	1,100	1,668	2,174	2,368	2,387	345	16	5	11,743
Burglary	195	156	288	407	533	577	154	6	0	2,316
Conservation	1	1	3	9	12	46	2	0	0	74
Dangerous Drugs	42	76	251	430	829	1,411	269	9	3	3,320
Driver's License	10	17	33	107	162	55	4	0	0	388
Family Offenses	40	12	11	8	20	19	4	0	0	114
Flight/Escape	1	2	3	9	25	46	8	2	0	96
Forgery	2	5	12	14	28	67	22	0	0	150
Fraud	2	5	2	12	23	55	14	1	0	114
Gambling	1	0	1	2	1	7	0	0	0	12
Health and Safety	25	19	27	32	47	53	7	0	0	210
Homicide	4	0	1	4	5	13	3	1	0	31
Kidnapping	0	0	1	0	3	7	0	0	0	11
Liquor Laws	15	20	58	162	412	884	146	2	0	1,699
Local Charges	30	26	54	103	135	197	24	1	1	571
Misc. (Includes DWI)	0	0	0	4	1	23	1	0	0	29
Municipal	4	2	6	16	14	33	2	0	0	77
Obscenity	0	0	2	2	1	2	0	0	0	7
Obstruct Judicial Process	4	8	16	42	105	113	37	1	0	326
Obstruct Police	20	20	46	62	107	150	25	0	2	432
Peace Disturbance	207	187	343	439	573	676	91	0	0	2,516
Property Damage	1,027	536	988	1,392	1,819	2,225	335	11	2	8,335
Prostitution	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	3
Robbery	12	16	33	62	96	146	33	5	0	403
Sex Offenses	120	64	92	116	92	104	17	4	1	610
Sexual Assault	55	36	75	73	92	79	27	7	0	444
Stealing	1,037	908	1,562	2,187	2,676	3,144	563	16	4	12,097
Stolen Property	31	29	82	93	146	164	40	1	0	586
Tax Revenue	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Weapons	90	68	108	138	191	255	41	1	0	892
Wiretap	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Miscode	77	12	32	52	89	97	34	4	0	397
TOTAL	4,832	3,360	5,849	8,220	10,670	13,088	2,257	88	18	48,382


STATUS OFFENSE REFERRALS

Status Offense Outcomes

More status offense referrals were handled informally than formally. The number of rejected referrals decreased from 1997 by 10 percent, while the number that were adjusted informally without supervision increased by seven percent. Aside from these, the proportion of each of the outcomes remained about the same as in 1997. (Chart 13.)



Status offenses are those offenses that are not considered violations if committed by adults. In 1998, status offenses accounted for 27 percent of all referrals. The category with the largest number of referrals was "Absent from Home," which had 5,925. This was also the category in which the number of female referrals outnumbered male referrals. (Chart 14.)



Status Offense Placements

Pre-hearing placements for status referrals are somewhat rare. Fewer than six percent of 1998 status referrals were detained prior to hearing. Of those juveniles held, 804 (57 percent) were held in a secure facility, 544 (39 percent) were held in a non-secure facility, and 51 juveniles (four percent) were held in both secure and non-secure facilities pending their hearings. Runaways were more likely than other status offenders to be held in a secure setting. (Table 8.)

Table 8. Pre-Hearing	Placen	Placements:		Offense	Referra	ls
					_	
	Se	cure	No	n-Secure	В	oth
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Truancy	47	28	6	16	7	1
Absent from Home	172	204	9 5	123	9	14
Beyond Parental Control	115	59	76	9 4	10	5
Behavior Injurious to Self	52	25	6 0	56	3	1
Curfew	4 1	10	2	. 1	0	0
Other Status	35	16	10	5	0	1
Total	462	3 4 2	2 4 9	295	2 9	2 2

In 1998, 968 juveniles who were referred for status offenses were placed out-of-home: 33 percent were put under the care of DYS; DFS accepted another 32 percent; 19 percent were placed into juvenile court residential care; seven percent were placed with private agencies. The rest were placed elsewhere, including DMH, public agencies, court probation, or with relatives. (Table 9.)

Table 9. Out-of-Home Placements: Status Offense Referrals					
DFS	307				
DYS	323				
Court Residential Care	181				
Private Agency	68				
Relative	24				
Other	18				
Supervision by Court	3 1				
Public Agency	8				
DMH	8				
Total	968				


ABUSE, NEGLECT AND CUSTODY REFERRALS

The abuse, neglect and custody category encompasses a wide range of referral types. Children can be referred because of physical abuse, abandonment, or because they are involved in custody disputes. A breakdown of all the abuse, neglect and custody referrals is listed in Table 10.

Table 10. Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals by Category					
Abus	<u>se</u>	<u>Neglect</u>		Custo	<u>yt</u>
Physical	1,674	Improper Care	4,948	Protective	1,009
Sexual	1,186	Other	1,277	Termination	1,282
Emotional	188	Educational	624	Relief	419
Incest	88	Abandonment	240	Transfer	273
		Medical/Surgical	184	Abduction	24

Abuse, Neglect and Custody Outcomes

For Abuse, Neglect and Custody referrals, "True, Out-of-Home" was the most-frequently reported outcome category. Chart 15 shows the distribution of outcomes.



Age Distribution of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals

Children younger than 12 were more likely to be referred for neglect and custody cases than for abuse. Teenagers, ages 12 through 15, were more likely to be alleged abuse victims. (Table 11.)

Table 11.	Age Gr	oup of Vic	tim
Years of Age	<u>Abuse</u>	<u>Neglect</u>	Custody
0 - 3	520	2,248	869
4 - 7	733	1,680	714
8 - 11	712	1,593	585
12 - 15	919	1,334	572
16 and over	250	411	271
(Miscode = 5)			
Total	3,134	7,266	3,011

APPENDICES

		Out-of-Home	In-Home	Services			tion	W/O Supervision	W/ Supervision	o Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	_		
		True, Ou	True, In-ł	Je, No	t True	Dismiss	Certification	Adjust M	M Jagan M	just N	ınsfer	ansfer	Rejected	Miscode	<u> </u>
CIRCUIT	COUNTY			True,	Not				Ad	Ad		Ţ		Ξ	372 G G G G
1	CLARK SCHUYLER	10 7	26 5	0	0	0	0	154 14	133 31	0 11	0 1	49 4	0 1	0 0	372 74
	SCOTLAND	12	1	0	0	0	0	24	25	0	0	64	4	0	130
2	ADAIR	18	35	3	20	5	0	225	54	41	13	150	0	1	565
	KNOX	1	8	0	2	4	0	53	38	126	3	52	2	3	
3	LEWIS GRUNDY	25 23	33 30	0	43	0 11	0	159 31	128 11	90 38	7	111 25	7 18	0	605 195
	HARRISON	29	13	2	Ő	12	1	6	4	0	12	16	9	0	
	MERCER	19	10	0	0	7	0	6	1	0	5	10	7	0	65
4	PUTNAM ATCHISON	12 3	7	0	0	13 2	1 0	9 12	8 17	0	<u>8</u>	6 0	<u>1</u>	0	69 47
7	GENTRY	3	13	0	0	0	0	4	40	0	0	0	5	0	65
	HOLT	1	3	0	0	0	0	11	28	3	2	0	4	0	52
	NODAWAY WORTH	6 1	20	0	0	1 0	1	103 5	64 20	6	9	0	22	0	232 36
5	ANDREW	8	<u>2</u> 5	0	0	2	0	80	54	5 0	1	16	3 19	0	
ľ	BUCHANAN	154	159	8	2	29	0	1,002	566	1	45	72	89	Ö	
6	PLATTE	26	29	0	0	11	2	53	208	17	8	7	31	1	393
7 8	CLAY CARROLL	347 6	127 11	44 0	14	34 2	5 0	528 73	327 12	499 15	211	82 0	17 1	8 0	
ľ	RAY	30	23	0	4	1	3	233	70	46	33	7	5	1	456
9	CHARITON	10	18	0	0	2	0	0	18	5	0	0	1	0	
	LINN SULLIVAN	12 8	11 3	0	4 1	8 1	0 0	12 13	24 12	0 11	4 2	11 2	2	0	88 55
10	MARION	66	55	1	0	1	5	102	159	268	36	46	78	1	818
	MONROE	7	3	Ö	Ö	2	1	30	26	17	5	13	13	0	117
44	RALLS	6	8	0	0	2	0	17	5	25	9	1	8	0	81
11 12	ST CHARLES AUDRAIN	289 36	522 29	9	9	42 5	24 0	1,025 122	505 163	391 66	282 21	66 91	852 17	85 3	4,101 553
1'2	MONTGOMERY	31	8	0	0	4	7	69	57	11	27	49	3	3	269
	WARREN	47	22	0	0	5	0	204	88	33	23	8	5	1	436
13	BOONE CALLAWAY	271 76	482 74	0	9 0	207 30	14 0	669 154	643 227	1 0	169 52	246 79	299 69	0 1	3,010 762
14	HOWARD	3	10	0	0	1	0	32	9	43	4	2	9	0	
	RANDOLPH	18	34	0	0	1	0	91	55	148	31	53	48	1	480
15	LAFAYETTE	53	5	0	0	0	1	128	24	28	29	21	33	0	
16	SALINE JACKSON	46 760	13 778	0 275	1,090	2 124	0	62 633	735	710	21	61 1,011	27 1,252	0	
17	CASS	25	44	0	0	0	9	304	308	93	7	13	25	2	830
	JOHNSON	24	39	4	0	4	0	55	194	94	1	9	0	1	425
18	COOPER PETTIS	7 61	14 6	0 2	0 0	0 4	0	63 176	51 33	170 116	22 24	2 165	26 56	2 4	357 647
19	COLE	110	134	7	4	23	5	113	232	79	107	31	113	22	980
20	FRANKLIN	60	52	0	2	55	4	908	368	12	38	65	90	3	1,657
	GASCONADE	24	9	0	1	7	1	107	64	1	3	13	7	0	237
21	OSAGE ST LOUIS COUNTY	14 1,132	3 1,700	0 12	0 39	464	0 52	20 3,502	9 447	0 1,700	2,669	0 38	4,335	1 1	57 16,091
22	ST LOUIS CITY	969	471	0	6	719	17	3,251	0	394	280	1	3,068	0	,
23	JEFFERSON	300	255	0	0	98	1	555	111	958	59	10	19	1	2,367
24	MADISON ST FRANCOIS	9 61	30	0	0	7 17	0	87 292	14 24	58 155	8	7	7 47	0 0	
	STE GENEVIEVE	61 19	87 48	1 0	2 0	17 3	0 2	70	31	61	94 11	26 22	47 1	0	
	WASHINGTON	36	40	0	0	12	0	194	7	125	5	7	10	0	436
25	MARIES	7	2	0	0	0	0	40	32	1	12	3	2	0	
	PHELPS PULASKI	51 54	32 81	16 7	0 0	2 28	2 2	245 232	151 100	42 23	42 15	71 58	28 81	0	
	TEXAS	45	32	0	2	10	0	213	68	61	39	134	4	0	

								u	_			_			
		Ф		Š				Supervision	Supervision	_	ourt	Transfer Other Agency			
		True, Out-of-Home	Je	Services			_		nper	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	ler A			
		ut-of	True, In-Home	No Se	Φ	s	Certification	Adjust W/O		% 9	ş	į	p	Φ	
		o 'er	Je,	True, N	t True	Dismiss	rtific	just	/W Adjust W/	just	ansfe	ansfe	Rejected	Miscode	Total
CIRCUIT 26	COUNTY CAMDEN	<u>트</u> 15	<u>=</u> 26	<u>E</u> 0	12	3	<u>ပို</u> 2		27	120	<u> </u>	<u>≓</u> 56	<u> </u>	<u>≅</u> 3	<u>6</u> 287
	LACLEDE MILLER	46 29	62 35	1	10 2	0 10	0	262 287	44 17	5 22	29 14	26 19	11 4	10 1	506
	MONITEAU MORGAN	7 9	17 13	0	1	0 2	1	72 151	5 7	15 39	1	12 38	1 0	0	132
27	BATES HENRY	27 23	10 7	0	0	7 18	1 0	117 129	58 81	11 30	3 27	19 28	22 21	0	275
28	ST CLAIR BARTON	6	1 18	0	0	0	0 2	59 52	17	9	5 7	42 10	10	0	
20	CEDAR	26	32	0	0	24	0	101	18	12	8	17	5	5	248
	DADE VERNON	4 77	6 62	0 2	1	0 14	0	49 357	8 40	4 44	18 34	1 37	2 8	4 16	
29 30	JASPER BENTON	116	76 0	0	0	47 0	0	134 26	135	548 7	42	182	30 15	0	59
	DALLAS HICKORY	10 3	0 0	0 0	0	1 0	0	87 15	4 2	4 9	1 1	3 1	63 8	0 0	39
	POLK WEBSTER	15 10	4 1	0 0	0 0	4 2	0 0	77 156	27 15	38 1	6 11	23 54	37 76	0 0	326
31 32	GREENE BOLLINGER	190 3	110 3	1 0	4	17 6	3 1	460 3	734 4	614 5	211 1	300 5	1,278 6	8 0	37
	CAPE GIRARDEAU PERRY	61 11	55 18	0	0	14 7	7 1	441 203	126 27	115 19	77 7	109 7	59 4	0	
33	MISSISSIPPI SCOTT	90 0	138 73	3 1	0 5	13 1	5 0	81 145	36 121	189 21	0 1	37 17	10 125	2 0	604 510
34	NEW MADRID PEMISCOT	20 72	17 13	0	0 2	0	1 13	75 163	66 106	3 2	12 5	14 32	12 31	0 3	
35	DUNKLIN STODDARD	25 46	79 48	6	10 1	29 2	0	1 43	12 84	161 91	10 26	163 33	35 32	0	
36	BUTLER RIPLEY	19 26	34 5	0	0	0	1 0	322 167	32 58	158 2	35 13	23 37	0	3 0	627
37	CARTER HOWELL	3 20	7 46	0	0	1 26	0	6 733	10 105	21 43	0	6 76	1 24	0	55
	OREGON SHANNON	9	1 3	0	0	6	0	26 86	14	8 20	0	6 5	7	0	77
38	CHRISTIAN TANEY	45	17	1	1	21	0	106	156	38	30	7	36	0	458
39	BARRY	35 17	15 38	0	0	0	2	151 122	97 33	50 64	35 12	54 38	98 29	1	385
	LAWRENCE STONE	8 1	25 6	1 0	1	4 0	0	199 79	37 12	44 27	13 14	32 20	3 22	1 2	183
40	MCDONALD NEWTON	75 198	3 10	49 120	0 2	3 3	0 0	49 246	6 33	15 62	4 38	31 116	8 31	0 1	860
41	MACON SHELBY	34 16	11 12	0	1 0	0 0	1 2	105 7	111 19	0 0	15 3	52 28	90 42	0 0	129
42	CRAWFORD DENT	46 16	5 1	0 0	0 0	0 1	1 0	146 31	316 47	10 7	59 7	40 5	0 19	0 0	
	IRON REYNOLDS	6 5	3 1	4 1	2	1 0	3	31 32	24 12	45 11	4 20	7 7	13 6	0	
43	WAYNE CALDWELL	7	2	0	0	0	0	12 28	16 23	11	6	20	9	1 0	84 63
	CLINTON DAVIESS	5 7	0 1	0	0	0	0	7	8 12	0	0 5	0	1 0	0	21
	DE KALB LIVINGSTON	1 11	2	0	0	0	0	8 132	5 66	1 10	0 17	0 10	0	2	19
44	DOUGLAS OZARK	14	10 5	0	0	7 4	0	40 13	8 7	10	18 3	5 7	2	0	
45	WRIGHT LINCOLN	10 50	24 48	1	0	9	1	89 245	27 38	5 111	7 17	27 12	0 0 31	0	200
40	PIKE	18	22	0	0	5	2	101	39	40	17	8	3	0	255
Total	Miscode	14 7,183	6 7,014	1 588	1 1,323	0 2,363	3 225	13 23,626	25 10,070	100 9,942	9 5,502	22 5,097	10 13,294	220	205 86,447

NOTE: Total = Law + Status + A/N/C + Administrative. Although it is included in this total, there is no separate appendix for the Administrative category.

CIRCUIT 1	COUNTY CLARK SCHUYLER SCOTLAND	True, Out-of-Home	17 2 1 True, In-Home	ooo True, No Services	o o o Not True	o o o Dismiss	o o o Certification	11 c 1 Adjust W/O Supervision	2 o GAjust W/ Supervision	o o o Adjust No Action	O Transfer Other Court	o o ω Transfer Other Agency	N O O Rejected	o o o Miscode	22 18 70tal
2	ADAIR KNOX	3 1	24 4	0	9 1	0	0	53 35	13 26	18 118	12 2	5 3	0	0 1	137 191
	LEWIS	10	21	0	20	0	0	98	63	48	5	5	4	¦	275
3	GRUNDY	3	29	0	0	0	1	19	7	12	3	3	12	0	89
	HARRISON	2	13	0	0	1	1	4	4	0	4	1	8	0	38
	MERCER PUTNAM	1 2	10 6	0	0	2 1	0 1	6 5	1 8	0 1	3 2	1 5	7 1	0	31 32
4	ATCHISON	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	6	0	3	0	4	0	19
[GENTRY	0	10	0	0	0	0	4	33	0	0	0	5	0	52
	HOLT	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	20	3	0	0	4	0	33
	NODAWAY	0	18	0	0	0	1	45	49	5	7	0	21	0	146
5	WORTH ANDREW	0	<u>2</u> 5	0	0	0 1	0	3 32	18 38	5 0	0	0	3 18	0	31 97
٦	BUCHANAN	54	109	2	2	14	0	382	347	1	32	12	82	0	1,037
6	PLATTE	12	29	0	0	6	2	49	189	15	7	5	29	1	344
7	CLAY	170	63	0	11	11	5	378	263	308	182	41	15	1	1,448
8	CARROLL	2	7	0	1	2	0	40	6	14	0	0	1	0	73
9	RAY CHARITON	17 1	15 4	0	0	1 0	<u>3</u>	164 0	50 14	28 1	26 0	3	<u>4</u> 1	0	311 21
Š	LINN	5	4	0	4	0	0	5	18	Ö	3	1	1	0	41
	SULLIVAN	0	1	Ō	0	Ō	0	5	11	1	1	1	1	0	21
10	MARION	29	38	0	0	0	5	60	115	103	27	26	43	0	446
	MONROE	4	1	0	0	1	1	16	16	3	3	2	9	0	56
11	RALLS ST CHARLES	1 173	5 361	<u>0</u>	0 8	0 28	0 24	14 781	5 415	9 63	2 202	1 41	5 628	0 63	42 2,793
12	AUDRAIN	23	22	0	0	0	0	86	120	43	15	6	17	2	334
	MONTGOMERY	15	7	0	0	0	7	34	43	4	10	3	3	2	128
	WARREN	13	13	0	0	1	0	68	74	9	13	5	2	1	199
13	BOONE	78	248	0	8	101	14	178	457	1	106	103	137	0	1,431
14	CALLAWAY HOWARD	23	28 8	0	0	0	0	20 29	132 8	37	24 3	32	16 7	0	277 96
1 -	RANDOLPH	7	28	0	0	1	0	62	49	118	19	23	33	0	340
15	LAFAYETTE	4	4	0	0	0	1	94	20	17	20	8	32	0	200
	SALINE	7	8	0	0	0	0	55	3	55	17	23	14	0	182
16 17	JACKSON CASS	344	350 26	15 0	610 0	49 0	9	610 175	529 186	499 45	0	208 4	1,029 8	0	4,243
''	JOHNSON	6 7	26 17	0	0	1	0	30	117	45 49	3 1	6	0	1	462 229
18	COOPER	2	14	0	0	0	0	43	44	29	19	1	25	0	177
	PETTIS	27	5	2	0	2	0	122	23	62	16	41	29	3	332
19	COLE	31	65	3	3	3	5	57	122	50	67	9	53	9	477
20	FRANKLIN GASCONADE	31 2	46 9	0	2 1	42 5	4	564 76	289 54	10 1	33 1	50 9	73 7	3 0	1,147 166
	OSAGE	0	3	0	0	0	0	15	9	0	6	0	3	0	36
21	ST LOUIS COUNTY	240	819	3	28	262	52	2,069	417		1,905		2,641	0	8,764
22	ST LOUIS CITY	208	361	0	4	328	17	1,503	0	129	234	0	2,203	0	4,987
23	JEFFERSON	51	165	0	0	52	1	408	82	494	37	6	13	1	1,310
24	MADISON ST FRANCOIS	3	26	0	0	6	0	51	0	19	7	6	7	0	125
	STE GENEVIEVE	26 8	82 41	0	2 0	8 3	0 2	209 51	20 16	37 35	89 11	25 12	36 0	0	534 179
	WASHINGTON	14	32	0	0	7	0	143	5	35	5	3	7	0	251
25	MARIES	4	1	0	0	0	0	12	15	0	11	3	0	0	46
	PHELPS	1	14	1	0	0	2	89	115	13	21	16	13	0	285
	PULASKI	11	26	0	0	6	2	100	68	13	12	2	67	0	307
	TEXAS	9	22	0	0	4	0	109	53	25	27	25	4	0	278

CIRCUIT 26	COUNTY CAMDEN LACLEDE	5 α True, Out-of-Home	24 17.10, In-Home	o o True, No Services	ο ο Not True	O Dismiss	O N Certification	25 Adjust W/O Supervision	S S Adjust W/ Supervision	1 O Adjust No Action	75 11 Transfer Other Court	S S Transfer Other Agency	6 cs Rejected	Miscode	343
	MILLER MONITEAU	15 7	23 11	0	1 1	8 0	0 1	167 41	0 2	20 11	9 1	10 4	3 1	1 0	257 80
^ -	MORGAN	5	11	0	1	2	1	79	4	23	1	9	0	1	137
27	BATES HENRY ST CLAIR	2 4 0	3 4 0	0 0 0	0 1 0	4 1 0	1 0 0	63 64 24	33 38 6	5 13 4	1 22 4	6 3 3	18 17 6	0 0 0	136 167 47
28	BARTON	0	13	0	0	2	2	32	3	1	5	5	0	1	64
	CEDAR DADE	10 3	29 4	0	0	12 0	0	59 39	11 3	11 4	8 17	4 0	4 0	4 0	152 71
	VERNON	23	44	1	0	7	1	192	26	24	20	15	7	9	369
29	JASPER	31	59	0	1	26	1	48	118	219	30	32	23	2	590
30	BENTON DALLAS	1 4	0	0	0	0 1	0	16 75	3 3	1 0	2 0	0 1	5 38	0 0	28 122
	HICKORY	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	5	0	0	5	0	19
	POLK WEBSTER	6 6	0 1	0	0	1 0	0	55 120	24 14	15 0	3 11	13 19	20 60	0	137 231
31	GREENE	49	95	0	1	12	3	368	645	412	185	74	822	4	2,670
32	BOLLINGER	2	3	0	0	2	1	3	3	5	1	1	5 50	0	-
	CAPE GIRARDEAU PERRY	25 1	50 17	0	0	4 2	7 1	275 114	110 26	71 17	62 4	52 4	50 4	0	706 190
33	MISSISSIPPI	21	93	1	0	11	5	59	22	77	0	10	8	2	309
34	SCOTT NEW MADRID	0 12	66 14	1 0	5 0	1 0	<u>0</u>	70 55	85 59	15 2	1 12	1 10	107 10	0	352 175
34	PEMISCOT	19	10	0	0	0	13	114	81	2	4	22	31	0	
35	DUNKLIN	4	56	1	2	13	0	1	10	143	6	15	18	0	269
36	STODDARD BUTLER	11	33 30	0	0	1 0	1	29 219	36 15	63 70	17 28	7 12	11	0 2	209 379
	RIPLEY	4	2	0	0	0	0	89	33	0	5	8	0	0	141
37	CARTER HOWELL	2 6	7 23	0 1	0	0 4	0	4 190	7 61	15 24	0 0	0 17	1 16	0 0	36 342
	OREGON	2	1	Ö	0	2	0	14	10	5	Ö	3	3	0	40
00	SHANNON	0	1	0	0	0	0	9	4	11	0	3	0	0	28
38	CHRISTIAN TANEY	6 5	15 14	1	1	6 2	0	73 40	142 79	24 39	27 21	2 10	35 82	0 0	332 295
39	BARRY	6	34	0	0	0	2	106	27	53	10	4	26	0	268
	LAWRENCE STONE	5 1	22 6	1 0	1	0	0	139 63	23 6	25 22	9 10	5 6	2 17	1 1	233 132
40	MCDONALD	8	2	0	0	3	0	37	6	8	10	10	4	0	79
	NEWTON	30	6	0	0	3	0	140	26	45	12	46	24	1	333
41	MACON SHELBY	4 6	9 12	0	1	0	1	71 3	66 9	0	12 3	13 1	27 1	0	204 37
42	CRAWFORD	11	5	0	0	0	1	85	161	6	49	3	0	0	321
	DENT IRON	2	1 3	0 3	0 1	0	0 3	15 26	29 15	5 7	5 4	2	6 3	0	65 67
	REYNOLDS	5	1	0	0	0	0	7	9	6	19	1	5 5	0	
42	WAYNE	2	2	0	0	0	0	7	12	6	4	5	8	1	47
43	CALDWELL CLINTON	1 5	1	0	0	0	2 0	14 5	12 6	0	2 0	0	0 1	0 0	32 17
	DAVIESS	1	0	0	0	1	0	19	11	0	3	0	0	0	35
	DE KALB LIVINGSTON	0 5	1 5	0	0	0	0 1	5 91	4 41	1 8	0 11	0 1	0 1	2	13 164
44	DOUGLAS	1	10	0	0	6	0	29	5	7	13	0	2	0	73
	OZARK	1	5	0	0	0	0	9	5	0	3	2	0	0	25
45	WRIGHT LINCOLN	30	21 40	1 0	3	2 1	1	51 211	25 26	3 86	5 14	1 10	0 27	0	
Ľ	PIKE	10	16	0	0	3	2	68	27	35	9	3	2	0	175
Total	Miscode	7	4 206	0	0 753	1 007	3	6	19	52 4 602	5 4.005	5	6 9 073	127	108
Total		2,160	4,296	43	153	1,097	225	13,491	7,173	4,092	4,005	1,347	0,9/3	127	48,382

CIPCLII	T COUNTY	True, Out-of Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	o Not True	Dismiss	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
1	CLARK	3	<u> 6</u>	0	0	0	140	117	0	-0	3	0		<u>⊢</u> 269
	SCHUYLER SCOTLAND	1 3	1 0	0 0	0	0 0	2 13	20 18	8 0	0 0	0 1	0 2	0	32 37
2	ADAIR	5	2	1	2	1	163	41	22	1	21	0	1	260
	KNOX LEWIS	0 4	2 3	0	0 7	0	13 48	9 59	6 28	1 2	2	2	1 0	36 156
3	GRUNDY	3	0	0	0	1	7	4	22	1	8	3	0	49
	HARRISON	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	7	8	0	0	19
	MERCER PUTNAM	0 3	0 1	0 0	0	0 1	0 3	0	0 0	2 6	0 0	0	0	2 14
4	ATCHISON	0	2	0	0	1	5	11	0	3	0	0	0	22
	GENTRY HOLT	0 0	3 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 6	7 8	0	0 2	0 0	0	0	10 17
	NODAWAY	2	2	0	0	0	45	15	0	2	0	1	0	67
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
5	ANDREW BUCHANAN	4 50	0 31	0 6	0 0	1 5	44 594	16 219	0 0	0 10	4 10	0 5	0	69 930
6	PLATTE	2	0	0	0	0	4	17	2	1	0	2	0	28
7 8	CLAY CARROLL	68 1	27 4	0	1	0	60 30	54 6	145 1	24 0	19 0	2 0	4	404 42
0	RAY	8	8	0	0	0	46	18	12	6	3	1	1	103
9	CHARITON	2	9	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	16
	LINN SULLIVAN	2 0	4 0	0 0	0 0	1 0	7 8	6 1	0 10	1 0	3 1	1 1	0	25 21
10	MARION	20	12	0	0	0	41	44	146	9	16	33	1	322
	MONROE RALLS	0 1	1 1	0 0	0 0	1 0	13 2	10 0	7 16	2 4	3 0	4 3	0	41 27
11	ST CHARLES	84	145	3	1	4	243	90	327	80	25	217	21	1,240
12	AUDRAIN	3	5	0	0	1	35	41	20	4	7	0	1	117
	MONTGOMERY WARREN	7 3	0 1	0 0	0	1 0	33 47	14 13	3 20	16 8	1 1	0 3	1 0	76 96
13	BOONE	46	187	0	0	65	276	186	0	50	110	117	0	1,037
14	CALLAWAY HOWARD	14 1	23	0	0	21	88	95 0	<u>0</u>	26 1	20	47 2	1	335 13
-	RANDOLPH	7	5	0	0	0	29	5	25	11	15	12	1	110
15	LAFAYETTE	5	0	0	0	0	31	4	11	9	10	1	0	71
16	SALINE JACKSON	3 131	2 44	0 5	0 193	2 18	5 23	1 205	27 205	0	27 159	12 95	0	83 1,078
17	CASS	9	14	0	0	0	125	120	48	4	3	14	2	339
18	JOHNSON COOPER	5 0	19 0	0	0	0	23	75 7	39 141	3	3 1	0	0 2	164 174
1'0	PETTIS	19	0	0	0	0	52	9	51	7	62	2	0	202
19	COLE	18	54	2	1	3	47	108	26	34	13	41	12	359
20	FRANKLIN GASCONADE	10 1	6 0	0	0	13 1	331 29	76 8	2 0	5 2	10 4	16 0	0	469 45
	OSAGE	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
21 22	ST LOUIS COUNTY ST LOUIS CITY	76 52	229 58	2	1	34 63	1,168 1,389	26 0	1,388 87	700 10	17 0	1,355 408	1	4,997 2,067
23	JEFFERSON	29	47	0	0	23	1,369	29	464	22	4	3	0	768
24	MADISON	0	1	0	0	1	33	6	11	1	1	0	0	54
	ST FRANCOIS STE GENEVIEVE	4 0	1 6	0 0	0 0	0 0	80 18	4 15	12 13	5 0	1 6	11 1	0	118 59
	WASHINGTON	3	3	0	0	2	38	2	25	0	1	1	0	75
25	MARIES	1	0	0	0	0	28 155	3	1	1	0	2	0	36
	PHELPS PULASKI	6 8	2 7	3 1	0 0	0 4	155 127	30 27	27 5	19 3	33 21	10 13	0	285 216
	TEXAS	8	5	Ö	1	1	97	15	29	8	53	0	0	217

CIRCUI		, True, Out-of Home	, True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
26	CAMDEN LACLEDE MILLER	0 18 4	2 20 11	0 1 0	1 1	0 0 1	0 78 116	7 15 10	46 4 1	3 4 5	13 4 6	3 2 1	2 4 0	151 156
	MONITEAU MORGAN	0	4 2	0 0	0 0	0	31 72	3 2	3 16	0 0	3 14	0	0 0	44 107
27	BATES HENRY	12 5 2	7 3 1	0 1 0	0 0 0	1 3 0	53 60	24 27	4 13	2 4	3 7	4 3 2	0 0 0	110 126
28	ST CLAIR BARTON CEDAR	9 3	2 3	0	0	2 7	35 15 36	11 1 7	0 1	1 2 0	4 2 5	0 1	0	60 33 64
20	DADE VERNON	0 18	2 15	0 1	0 1	0 2	5 142	5 13	0 18	0 11	1 16	0	3 7	16 245
29 30	JASPER BENTON DALLAS	9 1 5	11 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	6 0 0	83 7 12	6 1 1	321 5 4	11 0 1	17 2 1	3 5 24	2 0 0	469 21 48
	HICKORY POLK	2	0 2	0	0	0	5 15	0	2 13	0	0	2	0 0	11 54
31	WEBSTER GREENE	0	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	27 78	0 80	137	0 14	<u>3</u>	6 127	0 4	36 458
32	BOLLINGER CAPE GIRARDEAU PERRY	0 4	0 1	0 0	0 0	0	0 162	1 15	0 44	0 14	4 45	1 5	0 0	6 292
33	MISSISSIPPI SCOTT	11 0	0 20 7	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	89 20 75	14 35	86 6	0 0	5 0	0 1 18	0 0 0	98 157 141
34	NEW MADRID PEMISCOT	0	2 2	0	0	0	19 49	4 25	1 0	0	2 10	0	0	28 88
35	DUNKLIN STODDARD	2 4	10 9	0	0	4 0	0 12	1 40	14 23	3 4	11 8	4 12	0	49 114
36	BUTLER RIPLEY	1 2	2	0	0	0	78 75	4 15	65 1	6	2 4	0	1	159 101
37	CARTER HOWELL	1 3	0 13	0	0	0	530	3 41	4 11	0	3 35	0 7	0	12 641
	OREGON SHANNON	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	12 75	3 3	2 8	0 0	2 2	4 0	0 0	23 88
38	CHRISTIAN TANEY	8 12	2 1	0 0	0 0	5 1	30 21	14 18	13 10	3 11	5 11	1 5	0 0	81 90
39	BARRY LAWRENCE	0	3	0	0	0	42 46	6 13	8 10	2	6 7	3 0	0	70 83
40	STONE MCDONALD	8	0	0	0	0	12	6 0	6	2	13	3 4	0	45 200
41	NEWTON MACON SHELBY	16 11 1	0 1	0	0	0 0 0	100 34	45 9	16 0	13 3	45 7	5 1 1	0	202 102
42	CRAWFORD DENT	6	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	61 15	147 17	0 4 2	0 8 2	1 11 3	0 5	0 0 0	14 237 48
	IRON REYNOLDS	1 0	0	1 0	1 0	0	5 23	9	38	0	4 2	9	0 0	68 31
43	WAYNE CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	0	5 14	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	1 0	5 0	1	0	22 16
	CLINTON DAVIESS	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	2 14	1 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	3 20
	DE KALB LIVINGSTON	1 2	1	0	0	0	3 37	0 16	0 2	0 6	0 1	0	0 0	5 65
44	DOUGLAS OZARK	1 2	0	0	0	0 1	8 1	3 2	3 0	2 0	2 0	0	0 1	19 7
45	WRIGHT LINCOLN PIKE	12 3	7 3	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	33 34	12 12	21 5	2 3 7	1 2 3	0 4 1	0 0 0	43 95 67
	Miscode	1	0	1	0	0	33 7	12 5	5 48	3	5	0	0	70
Total		956	1,164	30	214	309	8,684	2,690	4,498	1,287	1,102	2,737	78	23,749

CIRCUIT 1	COUNTY CLARK SCHUYLER SCOTLAND	യ ഗ പ്ര True, Out-of-Home	o o s s True, In-Home	o o o True, No Services	o o o Not True	o o o Dismiss	O u o Adjust W/O Supervision	O o a Adjust W/ Supervision	o ω ο Adjust No Action	o o o Transfer Other Court	25 + 25 Transfer Other Agency	o t o Rejected	o o o Miscode	e O D D D D D D D D D D
2	ADAIR	10	9	2	9	4	9	0	1	0	124	0	0	168
	KNOX LEWIS	0	2 9	0	1	4 0	5 13	3 6	2 14	0 0	47 104	0 0	1	65
3	GRUNDY	11 17	1	0	16 3	10	5	0	4	0	104	3	0	174 57
	HARRISON MERCER	26 18	0	2	0	10 5	0	0	0	1	7 9	1	0	47 32
4	PUTNAM ATCHISON	7	0	0	2	11	1 2	0	<u>1</u>	0	1 0	0	0	23 6
1	GENTRY	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	HOLT	1	0 0	0	0	0	1	0	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	2 19
	NODAWAY WORTH	4 1	0	0	0 0	1 0	13 0	0 0	1 0	0	0	0	0	19
5	ANDREW	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	11	1	0	19
6	BUCHANAN PLATTE	50 12	19 0	0	0	10 5	26 0	2	0	<u>3</u>	50 2	0	0	160 21
6 7	CLAY	104	37	44	2	23	90	10	46	5	22	0	3	386
8	CARROLL	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
9	RAY CHARITON	7	<u>0</u> 5	0	<u>4</u> 0	0 1	23	2	6 2	0	0	0	0	41 17
9	LINN SULLIVAN	5 8	3 2	0	0	7 1	0	0	0	0 0 1	7 0	0	0	22 13
10	MARION	14	3	1	0	1	1	0	19	0	4	2	0	45
	MONROE RALLS	3 3	1 2	0 0	0 0	0 2	1 1	0	7 0	0 1	8 0	0 0	0	20 9
11 12	ST CHARLES AUDRAIN	31 10	16 2	0	0	10 4	1	0	1 3	0	0 78	7	1	67 102
12	MONTGOMERY	9	1	0	0	3	2	0	4	1	45	0	0	65
	WARREN	31	8	0	0	4	89	1	4	2	2	0	0	141
13	BOONE	136	30	0	1	24	215	0	0	13	33	45	0	497
14	CALLAWAY HOWARD RANDOLPH	39 0 3	23 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	7 0 0	46 0 0	0 1 1	0 2 5	0 1	26 0 15	6 0 3	0 0 0	149 4 28
15	LAFAYETTE	44	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	Ö	3	0	0	48
	SALINE	36	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	11	1	0	54
16 17	JACKSON CASS	285 10	384 2	255 0	287 0	57 0	0 4	1	6 0	0	644 6	128 3	0	2,047 27
["	JOHNSON	12	2	4	0	3	2	2	6	0	0	0	0	31
18	COOPER	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6
19	PETTIS COLE	15 60	1 13	2	0	2 17	9	2	3	6	36 9	25 19	1	84 141
20	FRANKLIN	19	0	0	0	0	13	3	0	0	5	19	0	41
	GASCONADE	21	0	Ō	Ō	1	2	2	Ō	0	0	0	Ō	26
21	OSAGE ST LOUIS COUNTY	13 680	0 402	7	0 10	0 119	1 239	0	0	0 63	0 1	0 320	0	14 1,847
21 22	ST LOUIS COUNTY	640	16	0	2	250	359	0	168	32	0	441	0	1,908
23	JEFFERSON	220	43	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	289
24	MADISON	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	31
	ST FRANCOIS STE GENEVIEVE	30 10	4 1	1 0	0 0	9 0	3 1	0 0	106 12	0 0	0 4	0 0	0	153 28
	WASHINGTON	19	5	0	0	3	13	0	65	0	3	2	0	110
25	MARIES	2	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	16
	PHELPS PULASKI	43 35	16 48	12 6	0 0	2 18	1 5	6 5	2 5	2	22 35	5 1	0	111 158
	TEXAS	28	46 5	0	1	5	7	0	7	4	56	0	0	113

CIRCUIT 26	COUNTY CAMDEN LACLEDE	4 cl True, Out-of-Home	o o True, In-Home	o o True, No Services	0 L Not True	o o Dismiss	o o Adjust W/O Supervision	o o Adjust W/ Supervision	o _A Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	o Nansfer Other Agency	O Rejected	Miscode	Total
	MILLER MONITEAU	8	0	0	0	1 0	0	0	1	0	3 5	0	0	13
	MORGAN	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	17
27	BATES HENRY	13 14	0	0	0	2 14	1 5	1 16	2 4	0	10 18	0 1	0	29 73
28	ST CLAIR BARTON	4 14	3	0	0	0 4	<u>0</u>	0 1	1 1	0	35 3	0	0	42 31
20	CEDAR	13	0	0	0	5	6	0	0	0	8	0	0	32
	DADE	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	2	1	10
29	VERNON JASPER	36 76	<u>3</u>	0	0	5 15	23	1 11	7	3	6 133	<u>0</u>	0	79 256
30	BENTON	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	5	0	10
	DALLAS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3
	HICKORY POLK	1 6	0 2	0	0	0 3	3 7	0	2 10	1 1	1 4	1 7	0	9 40
	WEBSTER	4	0	ő	0	2	9	1	1	0	32	10	0	59
31	GREENE	129	10	1	2	5	14	5	61	12	215	322	0	776
32	BOLLINGER CAPE GIRARDEAU	1 32	0 4	0 0	0	4 8	0 4	0 1	0	0 1	0 12	0 4	0 0	5 66
	PERRY	8	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	1	Ö	0	16
33	MISSISSIPPI	57	25	2	0	2	2	0	26	0	21	1	0	136
34	SCOTT NEW MADRID	0 8	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	16 2	2	0	16 13
· .	PEMISCOT	52	1	0	2	0	0	ő	0	0	0	0	3	58
35	DUNKLIN STODDARD	19 31	11 5	5 0	8 1	11 1	0 2	1 8	4 5	0 5	137 18	13 9	0	209 85
36	BUTLER	16	2	0	0	0	25	13	23	1	9	0	0	89
37	RIPLEY CARTER	20	<u>3</u>	0	0	0 1	3 1	9	1 2	3	25 3	1 0	0	65 7
57	HOWELL	11	10	1	0	22	13	3	8	0	24	1	0	93
	OREGON	7	0	0	0	4	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	14
38	SHANNON CHRISTIAN	1 27	0	0	0	9	2	0	1	0	0	3	0	9 39
00	TANEY	18	Ö	Ö	0	10	1	Ö	1	3	33	11	0	77
39	BARRY LAWRENCE	10	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	28	0	1	45
	STONE	2	0	0 0	0	4 0	14 4	1 0	9 2	1 1	20 9	1 2	0 0	52 18
40	MCDONALD	59	1	49	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	0	0	119
41	NEWTON MACON	152 19	<u>4</u> 1	120	0	0	6	0	1 0	13	25 32	2 62	0	325 114
41	SHELBY	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	26	40	0	78
42	CRAWFORD	29	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	2	26	0	0	65
	DENT IRON	11 3	0	0	0	0 1	1	1 0	0	0	0	8 1	0	21 8
	REYNOLDS	0	0	1	0	Ó	2	0	3	1	4	Ö	0	11
10	WAYNE	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	0	0	15
43	CALDWELL CLINTON	2 0	3 0	0 0	0	0	0	10 1	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	15 1
	DAVIESS	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	11
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
44	LIVINGSTON DOUGLAS	4 12	0	0	0	0 1	<u>4</u>	9	0	3	8	0	0	25 20
l · ·	OZARK	6	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	5	0	0	16
45	WRIGHT	5 8	<u>0</u>	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	25 0	0	0	39
45	LINCOLN PIKE	8 5	1 2	0	0	3 2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	16 12
	Miscode	6	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	12	4	0	27
Total		3,812	1,227	515	356	810	1,409	181	734	200	2,615	1,542	15	13,416

CIRCUIT	Cou Res. (DMF	1	DF	S	DY	S	Rela	tive	Priva Res. (Publi Agen		Othe	r	Misc	ode	Total
	cases		cases		cases	_	cases		cases		cases		cases		cases		cases	%	
1	0	0%	0	0%	25	86%	1	3%	2	7%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	29
2	5	11%	0	0%	27	61%	8	18%	4	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	44
3	0	0%	0	0%	73	88%	9	11%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	83
4	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14
5	70	43%	0	0%	58	36%	20	12%	11	7%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	162
6	0	0%	0	0%	10	38%	12	46%	4	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
7	22	6%	0	0%	57	16%	209	60%	39	11%	12	3%	0	0%	4	1%	4	1%	347
8	0	0%	0	0%	4	11%	24	67%	7	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	36
9	4	13%	0	0%	12	40%	0	0%	12	40%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	30
10	1	1%	0	0%	20	25%	51	65%	4	5%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	79
11	0	0%	0	0%	49	17%	222	77%	0	0%	8	3%	2	1%	0	0%	8	3%	289
12	2	2%	0	0%	62	54%	31	27%	6	5%	4	4%	0	0%	1	1%	8	5%	114
13	64	18%	3	1%	198	57%	64	18%	5	1%	13	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	347
14	0	0%	0	0%	14	67%	4	19%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	2	10%	21
15	1	1%	0	0%	87	88%	7	7%	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	99
16	336	44%	2	0%	300	39%	89	12%	3	0%	10	1%	0	0%	20	3%	0	0%	760
17	2	4%	0	0%	24	49%	15	31%	4	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	8%	49
18	0	0%	0	0%	44	65%	18	26%	3	4%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	68
19	5	5%	4	4%	56	51%	29	26%	10	9%	3	3%	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%	110
20	11	11%	2	2%	57	58%	26	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	98
*21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1,132	100%	
22	0	0%	0	0%	717	74%	188	19%	13	1%	51	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	969
23	0	0%	0	0%	237	79%	59	2%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	300
24	4	3%	0	0%	68	54%	48	38%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0% 2%	0	0%	3	2%	125
25	0	0%	1	1%	82	52%	26	17%	36	23%	3	2%	3		6	4%	0	0%	157
26 27	3 2	3% 4%	0 1	0% 2%	16 32	15% 57%	69 5	65% 9%	3 9	3% 16%	1	1% 5%	0	0% 0%	2 4	2% 7%	12 0	11%	106 56
28	0	0%	0	0%	87	67%	35	27%	5	4%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0% 2%	130
29	5	4%	2	2%	74	64%	24	21%	6	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	4%	116
30	0	0%	0	0%	14	35%	21	53%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	40
31	15	8%	2	1%	116	61%	36	19%	3	2%	5	3%	0	0%	1	1%	12	5 % 6%	190
32	0	0%	0	0%	35	47%	34	45%	3	4%	2	3%	0	0%	Ó	0%	1	1%	75
33	0	0%	1	1%	32	36%	22	24%	24	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	11%	90
34	0	0%	Ó	0%	46	50%	27	29%	12	13%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	5	5%	92
35	0	0%	1	1%	20	28%	3	4%	41	58%	Ö	0%	1	1%	2	3%	3	4%	71
36	ő	0%	Ö	0%	15	33%	2	4%	20	44%	1	2%	1	2%	2	4%	4	9%	45
37	0	0%	0	0%	20	61%	8	24%	4	12%	<u> </u>	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33
38	ő	0%	3	4%	63	79%	13	16%	Ö	0%	0	0%	Ő	0%	1	1%	Ő	0%	80
39	Ö	0%	Ö	0%	11	42%	14	54%	1	4%	Ö	0%	Ö	0%	Ö	0%	Ö	0%	26
40	0	0%	Ō	0%	138	51%	24	9%	59	22%	45	16%	1	0%	3	1%	3	1%	273
41	8	16%	Ö	0%	21	42%	6	12%	12	24%	0	0%	1	2%	1	2%	1	2%	50
42	2	3%	1	1%	48	60%	16	20%	4	5%	1	1%	1	1%	6	8%	1	1%	80
43	1	4%	0	0%	11	41%	8	30%	4	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	11%	27
44	0	0%	0	0%	24	73%	5	15%	4	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33
45	0	0%	0	0%	22	32%	39	57%	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	4%	68
Miscode	1	7%	0	0%	9	64%	4	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14
Total	564	8%	23	0%	3,149	44%	1,575	22%	389	5%	176	2%	11	0%	56	1%	1,240	17%	7,183

^{*} NOTE: Circuit 21 provided the following supplemental data for out-of-home placements: Court Residential Care=117; DMH=0; DFS=348; DYS=65; Relative=524; Private Agency=79; Public Agency=0; Other=482; Total=1,615. All tables in this report are based on 1,132 placements as reported above.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	221 259 84 210 784 237 403 116 85	63% 94% 98% 99% 94% 88%	0 0 0 0 0	% 0% 0% 0% 0%	38 18 1 1	11% 6% 1%	number 5 0	1%	number 86		number		number		number	%	
2 3 4 5 6 7	259 84 210 784 237 403 116	94% 98% 99% 98% 94%	0 0 0	0% 0% 0%	18 1	6%			86								0.50
3 4 5 6 7	84 210 784 237 403 116	98% 99% 98% 94%	0 0	0% 0%	1		U			25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	350
4 5 6 7	210 784 237 403 116	99% 98% 94%	0	0%		1%	_	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	277
5 6 7	784 237 403 116	98% 94%	0		3		0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	86
6 7	237 403 116	94%	-	0%		1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	213
7	403 116		0		17	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	801
	116	88%		0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	5	2%	8	3%	0	0%	253
8			0	0%	44	10%	0	0%	8	2%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	457
	85	95%	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	122
9		69%	0	0%	26	21%	0	0%	2	2%	1	1%	6	5%	4	3%	124
10	256	90%	1	0%	13	5%	0	0%	11	4%	0	0%	5	2%	0	0%	286
	1,004	98%	0	0%	17	2%	3	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1,025
12	367	86%	11	3%	32	8%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	8	2%	5	1%	425
	,367	96%	1	0%	57	4%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1,426
14	108	61%	1	1%	4	2%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%		35%	1	1%	178
15	45	87%	0	0%	6	12%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	52
	1,087	70%	0	0%	435	28%	0	0%	22	1%	3	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1,547
17	586	86%	42	6%	13	2%	0	0%	3	0%	4	1%	36	5%	1	0%	685
18	104	88%	0	0%	9	8%	1	1%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	118
19	231	86%	14	5%	11	4%	0	0%	9	3%	0	0%	0	0%	5	2%	270
20	505	99%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	510
*21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
22	471 1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	471
23	366	88%	0	0%	48	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	416
24	281	90%	2	1%	10	3%	4	1%	0	0%	3	1%	6	2%	6	2%	312
25	498	78%	0	0%	93	14%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	44	7%	5	1%	642
26	212	95%	0	0%	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	2%	2	1%	222
27	174	61%	0	0%	19	7%	0	0%	30	11%	19	7%	38	13%	5	2%	285
28	152	90%	0	0%	10	6%	1	1%	5	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	169
29	208	64%	1	0%	21	6%	0	0%	1	0%	4	1%	86	26%	5	2%	326
30	51	93%	0	0%	4	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	55
31	886	92%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	72	7%	0	0%	3	0%	964
32	233	90%	0	0%	4	2%	7	3%	12	5%	2	1%	0	0%	1	0%	259
33	301	92%	0	0%	24	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	327
34	202	73%	10	4%	1	0%	0	0%	3	1%	1	0%		21%	1	0%	276
35	223	93%	0	0%	8	3%	1	0%	1	0%	3	1%	4	2%	1	0%	241
36	129	85%	1	1%	12	8%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	6	4%	151
37	180	88%	0	0%	17	8%	0	0%	1	0%	7	3%	0	0%	0	0%	205
38	285	74%	1	0%	0	0%	3	1%	1	0%	0	0%	93	24%	0	0%	383
39	151	96%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	0	0%	1	1%	157
40	51	89%	0	0%	5	9%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	57
41	153	85%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	25	14%	0	0%	0	0%	180
42	427	68%	26	4%	1	0%	1	0%	6	1%	163	26%	0	0%	1	0%	625
43	127	77%	1	1%	32	20%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	164
44	81	99%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	82
45	147	91%	1	1%	5	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	5%	0	0%	161
Miscode	30	71%	0	0%	3	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	21%	0	0%	42
Total 14	,078	86%	113	1%	1,075	7%	29	0%	221	1%	321	2%	481	3%	59	0%	16,377

^{*}NOTE: Circuit 21 provided supplemental data for youths who received Probation/Supervisory In-Home Services (1,145). These youths are not included in the charts of this report.

CIRCUIT 1 2 3	COUNTY CLARK SCHUYLER SCOTLAND ADAIR KNOX LEWIS GRUNDY HARRISON	9 do 9 d 13 4 9 36 139 115 29 4	33 33 7 60 41 97 39 21	86n ₂ Q 3 0 0 7 1 7 5 3	O Disturbance	269 322 37 260 36 156 49	800 Name of State of	372 74 130 565 292 605 195
	MERCER PUTNAM	8 9	17 13	0 1	0 0	2 14	38 32	65 69
4	ATCHISON GENTRY HOLT NODAWAY WORTH	3 8 4 36 9	5 24 19 63 17	1 2 0 2 0	0 2 2 13 0	22 10 17 67 4	16 19 10 51 6	47 65 52 232 36
5	ANDREW BUCHANAN	28 272	50 447	5 66	3 136	69 930	30 276	185 2,127
6	PLATTE	98	174	29	5	28	59	393
7 8	CLAY CARROLL	327 14	676 33	145 13	127 1	404 42	564 18	2,243 121
ľ	RAY	95	132	23	11	103	92	456
9	CHARITON	3	13	0	0	16	22	54
	LINN	19	11	4	0	25	29	88
10	SULLIVAN MARION	6 115	11 202	0 15	0 51	21 322	17 113	55 818
10	MONROE	13	38	0	0	41	25	117
	RALLS	14	19	3	2	27	16	81
11	ST CHARLES	664	1,309	229	35	1,240	624	4,101
12	AUDRAIN MONTGOMERY	72 32	180 66	11 12	13 1	117 76	160 82	553 269
	WARREN	44	107	17	9	96	163	436
13	BOONE	492	711	117	6	1,037	647	3,010
	CALLAWAY	93	131	22	2	335	179	762
14	HOWARD RANDOLPH	36 164	43 100	2	8 43	13 110	11 60	113 480
15	LAFAYETTE	39	96	21	43	71	91	322
	SALINE	43	109	10	8	83	67	320
16	JACKSON	1,164	2,366	299	136	1,078	2,325	7,368
17	CASS JOHNSON	160 75	225	29 21	6 5	339 164	71 73	830
18	COOPER	48	87 80	14	13	174	28	425 357
	PETTIS	84	164	21	12	202	164	647
19	COLE	85	245	41	16	359	234	980
20	FRANKLIN	280	603	99	15	469	191	1,657
	GASCONADE OSAGE	56 5	74 13	14 2	4 0	45 7	44 30	237 57
21	ST LOUIS COUNTY	2,082	4,541	574	410	4,997	3,487	16,091
22	ST LOUIS CITY	1,377	2,627	329	161	2,067	2,615	9,176
23	JEFFERSON	476	562	115	41	768	405	2,367
24	MADISON ST FRANCOIS	33 210	51 224	6 18	13 10	54 118	70 226	227 806
	STE GENEVIEVE	45	82	16	8	59	58	268
	WASHINGTON	139	54	13	22	75	133	436
25	MARIES	11	21	1	0	36	30	99
	PHELPS	60	150	25	9	285	153	682
	PULASKI TEXAS	88 132	150 98	17 11	10 9	216 217	200 141	681 608
L	ILAAO	132	90	- 11	3	411	1+1	000

CIRCUIT 26	COUNTY CAMDEN	eldoed 52	Property	Drugs	Peace Disturbance	Status	9 9 Miscellaneous	
	LACLEDE MILLER MONITEAU	96 104 19	137 115 37	34 6 3	20 20 11	151 156 44	68 39 18	506 440 132
	MORGAN	44	62	5	6	107	39	263
27	BATES HENRY ST CLAIR	41 47 14	64 75 24	4 12 2	7 12 1	110 126 60	49 94 48	275 366 149
28	BARTON	18	33	0	3	33	41	128
	CEDAR	57	66	1	6	64	54	248
	DADE VERNON	15	45	1 14	0	16	20	97
29	JASPER	116 116	168 341	23	17 42	245 469	133 325	693 1,316
30	BENTON	4	20	0	0	21	14	59
	DALLAS	24	62	5	13	48	21	173
	HICKORY	5	9	1	4	11	9	39
	POLK WEBSTER	38 70	84 86	8 25	3 23	54 36	44 86	231 326
31	GREENE	774	1,360	167	122	458	1,049	3,930
32	BOLLINGER	9	12	1	2	6	7	37
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	177	329	32	84	292	150	1,064
33	PERRY MISSISSIPPI	71 127	74 113	15 22	<u>4</u>	98 157	42 179	304 604
33	SCOTT	109	141	20	5	141	94	510
34	NEW MADRID	35	106	8	7	28	36	220
~ =	PEMISCOT	96	136	26	12	88	84	442
35	DUNKLIN STODDARD	67 54	150 59	18 32	11 8	49 114	236 142	531 409
36	BUTLER	61	233	22	34	159	118	627
	RIPLEY	53	49	18	6	101	82	309
37	CARTER	11	14	3	3	12	12	55
37	HOWELL OREGON	81 17	146 14	21 1	44 3	641 23	143 19	1,076 77
07	SHANNON	6	18	2	1	88	10	125
38	CHRISTIAN	49	187	35	7	81	99	458
	TANEY	101	136	18	17	90	100	462
39	BARRY LAWRENCE	65 70	142 103	29 15	7 12	70 83	72 85	385 368
	STONE	36	67	10	5	33	32	183
40	MCDONALD	17	40	12	2	45	127	243
	NEWTON	60	167	34	28	202	369	860
41	MACON SHELBY	52 8	74 16	14 1	10 0	102 14	168 90	420 129
42	CRAWFORD	102	125	40	9	237	110	623
	DENT	16	32	2	1	48	35	134
	IRON	18	31	12	1	68	13	143
	REYNOLDS WAYNE	18 14	17 23	3 6	9 1	31 22	17 18	95 84
43	CALDWELL	3	17	6	0	16	21	63
	CLINTON	5	5	4	0	3	4	21
	DAVIESS	5	20	0	0	20	21	66
	DE KALB LIVINGSTON	7 15	4 104	1 1	0 19	5 65	2 50	19 254
44	DOUGLAS	8	42	7	0	19	38	114
	OZARK	5	16	1	0	7	20	49
45	WRIGHT	28	48	8	13	43	60	200
45	LINCOLN PIKE	126 49	161 77	29 14	42	95 67	107 40	560 355
Miscode		19	77 70	14 4	<u>8</u> 5	67 70	37	255 205
Total		13,243	23,710	3,320	2,154	23,749	20,271	86,447

CIRCUIT 1	o Felony-A	Felony-B	9 Felony-C	ω Felony-D	Other Felony	Wisdemeanor-A	Misdemeanor-B	71 Misdemeanor-C	Other Misdemeanor	o Infr., Viol., Local	88 Status	O/N/C 4/N/C	o Administrative	Miscode	256 Total
2 3	5	2	44	1	7	149	68	259	64	4	453	406 124	0	0	1,462
4	1 0	5	49 22	11 4	0	39 70	16 72	40 50	31 55	0 3	119 120	31	0 0	0 0	433 432
5 6	4 4	7 2	120 50	20 41	0 1	420 126	236 47	266 42	57 22	4 1	999 30	179 19	0 0	0 8	2,312 393
7	25	32	240	76	8	546	184	217	91	29	406	384	5	0	2,243
8	8	2	40 12	38 7	1 0	111 29	51 12	62 5	41 14	12	144 62	47 52	1 0	19 1	577 197
10	5	15	61	22	0	166	94	115	44	22	390	74	8	0	1,016
11 12	26 6	49 9	322 119	305 28	26 0	983 235	386 86	353 115	298 48	36 6	1,240 288	67	1 0	9 10	4,101 1,258
13	11	13	222	36	1	666	228	439	48 77	7	1,372	308 645	46	9	3,772
14	2	0	43	68	0	82	79	127	20	12	123	32	2	3	593
15 16	5 100	2 88	60 888	3 175	2 16	125 2,276	47 536	77 66	52 95	8 1	154 1,127	102 1,998	4 0	1 2	642 7,368
17	4	13	141	92	2	207	75	97	45	10	501	58	3	7	1,255
18 19	7 1	8 5	69 55	14 16	0 1	161 202	80 56	97 79	46 44	19 17	378 359	88 141	29 3	8 1	1,004 980
20	8	170	312	125	49	284	98	201	86	7	521	81	0	9	1,951
21 22	107 109	193 219	1,035 934	134 139	15 40	3,680 1,885	1,147 338	1,301 967	652 168	453 91	4,990 2,225	1,816 1,750	483 214	85 97	16,091
23	21	18	216	22	3	378	141	427	55	27	768	289	0	2	9,176 2,367
24	8	20	122	152	1	324	118	262	67	12	306	322	20	3	1,737
25 26	3 6	12 6	118 103	17 9	1	286 338	120 147	269 306	65 71	23 3	753 536	398 79	2 21	3 0	2,070 1,628
27	7	3	43	12	1	98	57	82	38	9	296	144	0	0	790
28 29	5 1	2 4	80 88	11 13	7	309 280	130 82	26 67	54 33	31 7	358 468	151 255	0	2 14	1,166 1,316
30	8	8	45	5	3	271	46	105	29	9	170	121	0	8	828
31 32	9 16	22 32	292 108	51 43	13	965 224	471 178	708 202	111 61	27 50	458	776	26 0	1 7	3,930 1,405
33	13	8	97	12	7	146	62	178	122	13	394 298	87 152	3	3	1,405
34	5	5	88	13	0	171	61	107	17	3	116	71	4	1	662
35 36	18 0	12 0	62 56	27 4	0	132 127	87 138	52 117	77 75	4 2	163 260	294 154	5 2	7 1	940 936
37	5	19	35	40	0	175	58	73	41	0	764	123	0	0	1,333
38 39	7 3	3	82 76	29 14	0	262 242	86 119	91	41 29	23 17	170 186	116	6 2	4 12	920 936
40	0	12	76 85	16	0	133	57	118 60	42	7	413	115 278	0	0	1,103
41	3	3	29	19	0	59	34	24	49	6	116	191	0	16	549
42 43	5 1	3 2	84 34	11 5	0	188 104	64 56	141 25	42 21	12 11	408 109	118 53	0	3 2	1,079 423
44	3	5	42	6	0	66	37	27	25	0	69	75	8	0	363
45 Miscode	1	8	87 20	14 7	0	158 39	119 14	162 15	59 3	9	162 70	28 27	1	7	815 205
Total	589	1,051	6,946	1,910	216	17,938	6,422	8,640	3,301	1,049		12,964	900	371	86,447

Because not all circuits and counties use unique individual identification numbers, and because two separate circuits might prosecute the same child using different IDs, a true statewide count of the number of children certified can not be accurately found. The numbers on this table have been unduplicated to represent individual children within circuit.

		hite		ack	0		
Circuit	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
7	2	0	1	0	0	0	3
8	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
10	3	1	2	0	0	0	6
11	12	1	0	0	0	0	13
12	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
13	2	0	7	0	2	0	11
15	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
17	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
19	2	0	1	0	0	0	3
20	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
21	10	0	26	2	0	0	38
22	0	0	12	3	0	0	15
23	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
24	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
25	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
26	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
27	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
28	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
29	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
31	1	0	0	0	2	0	3
32	4	0	3	1	1	0	9
33	2	0	2	1	0	0	5
34	3	0	11	0	0	0	14
35	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
36	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
38	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
39	2	0	0	0	0	0	2 3
41	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
42	1	1	0	0	0	0	2 3
43	3	0	0	0	0	0	
44	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
45	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Miscode	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Total	91	6	67	7	6	1	178

NOTE: The totals on this table have been unduplicated by youth within circuit; therefore, totals will not match other tables or appendices found in this report.

MISSOURI'S 45 JUVENILE DIVISIONS

